



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,453	07/29/2003	Jack D. Keene	RIBO-001/04US 308729-2034	5725
58249	7590	05/15/2008	EXAMINER	
COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP			MARVICH, MARIA	
ATTN: Patent Group			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 1100			1633	
777 - 6th Street, NW			MAIL DATE	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001			05/15/2008	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			PAPER	

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/629,453	KEENE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	MARIA B. MARVICH	1633	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MARIA B. MARVICH. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Konstantin Linnick. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 13 May 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: Giordana et al 102(e)5,859,227 and 102(b) WO 98/37422 (incorrectly written in the office action).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants indicated that references teach that RNA binding proteins are not precipitated and teachings of "detection" are directed to already purified complexes. However, the reference appears ambiguous as to whether the detection of the complexes occurs as a precipitation step or as a post precipitation step. Applicants' will respond with arguments as to the distinction between the two.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Maria B Marvich, PhD/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1633

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required